For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	JULIO R. AYALA and MIRNA AYALA,
11	individually and as Administrators of the Estate of Julio A. Ayala,
12	No. C 06-02061 WHA Plaintiffs,
13	v.
14	CITY OF SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO,
15	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT, CHIEF MARK RAFFAELLI, OFFICER MIKE KUCHAC, ORDER APPROVING STIPULATED
16	OFFICER JANELLE PEREZ, CORPORAL BRUCE McPHILLIPS, OFFICER DAVID ORDER AFFROVING STIFULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER SUBJECT TO STATED CONDITIONS
17	BERRY, CORPORAL DANNY GIL, OFFICER MELINDA LOPEZ, CORPORAL
18	KEN CHETCUTI, SERGEANT RON CARLINO, CORPORAL DAVE KENNAN,
19	OFFICER CHRIS DEVAN, OFFICER MATT McNICHOL, OFFICER ADAM PLANK,
20	OFFICER ROBBY CHON, and DOES 1 to 50, inclusive,
21	Defendants.
22	Defendants.
23	
24	The stipulated protective order submitted by the parties is hereby APPROVED , subject to
25	the following conditions:
26	1. The parties must make a good-faith determination that any
27	information designated "confidential" warrants protection under Rule 26(c) of the
28	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Designations of material as "confidential" must
	be parrowly tailored to include only material for which there is good cause. A

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

pattern of over-designation may lead to an order de-designating all or most materials on a wholesale basis.

- 2. In order to be treated as confidential, any materials filed with the Court must be lodged with a request for filing under seal in compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5. Please limit your requests for sealing to only those narrowly-tailored portions of materials for which good cause to seal exists. Please include all other portions of your materials in the public file and clearly indicate therein where material has been redacted and sealed. Each filing requires an individualized sealing order; blanket prospective authorizations are no longer allowed by Civil Local Rule 79-5.
- 3. Chambers copies should include all material — both redacted and unredacted — so that the chambers staff does not have to re-assemble the whole brief or declaration. Although chambers copies should clearly designate which portions are confidential, chambers copies with confidential materials will be handled like all other chambers copies of materials without special restriction, and will typically be recycled, not shredded.
- 4. Any confidential materials used openly in court hearings or trial will not be treated in any special manner absent a further order.
- 5. This order does not preclude any party from moving to de-designate information or documents that have been designated as confidential. The party seeking to designate material as confidential has the burden of establishing that the material is entitled to protection.
- 6. The Court will retain jurisdiction over disputes arising from the proposed and stipulated protective order for only 90 days after final termination of the action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 13, 2007

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE